IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Vashaun Ravenel,) Civil Astist No. 0.19 2112 TIW DM
	Petitioner,) Civil Action No. 9:18-2112-TLW-BM
v.)))
Charles Williams,		ORDER
	Respondent.))
)))

Petitioner Vashaun Ravenel brought this action, pro se, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 seeking habeas relief. ECF No. 1. Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on October 17, 2018. ECF No. 15. This matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (the Report) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), (D.S.C.). ECF No. 28. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the case be dismissed with prejudice. The deadline to object to the Report was February 28, 2019. However, Petitioner did not file objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 28, is **ACCEPTED**, and this case is **DISMISSED** without

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and relevant filings. For the reasons

prejudice and without issuance of service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for

failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Terry L. Wooten</u> Senior United States District Judge

July 17, 2019 Columbia, South Carolina